

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/550,485	07/21/2006	David E. W. Vaughn	P2003J031	9948	
27810 7590 03/19/2009 ExxonMobil Research & Engineering Company			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 900 1545 Route 22 East Annandale, NJ 08801-0900			DANG, THUAN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , ,			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/550,485	VAUGHN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
THUAN D. DANG	1797				

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3T CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the communication.  Failure for poly within the set or extended period for reply will by states, cause the application to become ARMONDED (38 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 3T CFR 1.74(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2005. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b X This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on isfare: a) coepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information-Disclosure-Statement(e)-(PTO/SE/DE)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/550,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The term "any of" on line 1 of claim 4, line 1 of claim 13, line 1 of claim 24 should be removed.

Dependency of claim 6 is unclear.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Art Unit: 1797

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4-6, 17, 20, 21, and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(\*\*\*) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Haag et al (4,374,296).

Haag discloses a process of isomerization of paraffins having 4 to 8 carbons in the presence of a catalyst containing group VIII metal, namely platinum, a FER zeolite, namely ZSM-35, land a matrix, namely alumina which has been treated with water at a temperature. The condition of temperature and pressure can be found in the speciation (the abstract; col. 2, line 66 thru col.3, line 6; col. 10, lines 36-62; col. 6, lines 31-33).

Haag does not disclose the comparison of ammonia desorption property of the catalyst.

However, this property is expected to be inherent in the Haag catalyst which is also treated with water.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2, 3, 7, 13-15, 18, 19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haag et al (4,374,296).

Haag discloses a process as discussed above.

Haag does not disclose how long and the temperature the catalyst is treated. However, Haag discloses that these are variables of the treating step (col. 3, lines 14-36).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Haag process by selecting appropriate temperature and time to optimize the water-treated catalyst.

Haag does not disclose isomerizing hydrocarbons of C9 or C10+ as called for in claims. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Haag process by isomerizing these homolog hydrocarbons since it is expected that very close hydrocarbons can be isomerized in the presence of the Haag catalyst.

The sequence of the water treating step and the metal adding step is a matter of choice except unexpected can be shown.

Art Unit: 1797

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-12, 16, 23, 24, 26, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not discloses or render obvious during the water treating step the pH of water is adjusted to about 2 to about 7 through the addition of an acidic or basic material that does not have a deleterious effect on the aqueous-treated catalyst.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THUAN D. DANG whose telephone number is (571)272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,485 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/THUAN D DANG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797